arXiv:math/0504265v1 [math.AG] 13 Apr 2005

FANO MANIFOLDS WITH LONG EXTREMAL RAYS

MARCO ANDREATTA, GIANLUCA OCCHETTA

Abstract. Let X be a Fano manifold of pseudoindex i_X whose Picard number is at least two and let R be an extremal ray of X with exceptional locus $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$. We prove an inequality which bounds the length of R in terms of i_X and of the dimension of $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$ and we investigate the border cases.
In particular we classify Fano manifolds X of pseudoindex i_X obtained blowing up a smooth variety Y along a smooth subvariety T such that $\dim T < i_X$.

1. Introduction

A smooth complex projective variety of dimension n is called Fano if its anticanonical bundle $-K_X = \wedge^n TX$ is ample. The index of X, r_X, is the largest natural number m such that $-K_X = mH$ for some (ample) divisor H on X, while the pseudoindex i_X is defined as the minimum anticanonical degree of rational curves on X and it is an integral multiple of r_X.

The pseudoindex is related to the Picard number ρ_X of X by a conjecture which claims that $\rho_X(i_X - 1) \leq n$, with equality if and only if $X \simeq (\mathbb{P}^{i_X-1})^{\rho_X}$; this conjecture appeared in [8] as a generalization of a similar one (with the index in place of the pseudoindex) proposed by Mukai in 1988.

A first step towards the proof of this conjecture was made by Wiśniewski in [24], where he proved that if $i_X > \frac{n+2}{2}$ then $\rho_X = 1$. More recently several authors ([8], [21], [1], [10]) dealt with this problem but the general case is still open.

In this paper we investigate a related problem.

Let X be a Fano manifold with $\rho_X > 1$ and let R be an extremal ray of X. Let $l(R) := \min\{-K_X \cdot C \mid C \text{ a rational curve in } R\}$ be the length of R and $\mathrm{Exc}(R) = \{x \in X : x \in C \text{ a rational curve in } R\}$ be its exceptional locus. We first prove the following bound:

$$i_X + l(R) \leq \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + 2, \qquad (*)$$

which is an improved statement of the conjecture in the case $\rho_X = 2$.

Then we investigate the cases in which equality holds. Equivalently we ask if on a Fano variety of pseudoindex i_X an extremal ray R of maximal length does determine the structure of the variety. We prove the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n, pseudoindex i_X and Picard number $\rho_X \geq 2$, and let R be a fiber type or divisorial extremal ray such that*

$$i_X + l(R) = \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + 2.$$

Then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^k \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ or $X \simeq Bl_{\mathbb{P}^t}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ with $0 \leq t \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$.

We do not know how to prove a similar theorem if R is an extremal ray whose associated contraction is small (i.e. $\dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) \leq n-2$). However if we replace in the assumptions the pseudoindex i_X with the index r_X then we have the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n, index r_X, and Picard number $\rho_X \geq 2$, and let R be an extremal ray such that*

$$r_X + l(R) = \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + 2.$$

Then, denoted by e the dimension of $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$, *we have* $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus e-k+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n-e})$, *with $k = n - r + 1$.*

Finally we consider the next step, namely the case

$$i_X + l(R) = \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + 1.$$

For a fiber type or divisorial extremal ray R we prove that $\rho_X \leq 3$, describing the Kleiman-Mori cone of X and classifying the varieties with $\rho_X = 3$, (Theorem 5.1).

If we assume moreover that R is the ray associated to a smooth blow-up, we have a complete classification:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a Fano manifold and let R an extremal ray whose associate contraction $\varphi_R : X \to Y$ is the blow up of a smooth subvariety $T \subset Y$, such that*

$$i_X + l(R) \geq n \qquad \text{or equivalently} \qquad i_X \geq \dim T + 1.$$

Then X is one of the following

a) $Bl_{\mathbb{P}^t}(\mathbb{P}^n)$, *with $\mathbb{P}^t$ a linear subspace of dimension $\leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$.*

b) $Bl_{\mathbb{P}^t}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$, *with $\mathbb{P}^t$ a linear subspace of dimension $\leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$.*

c) $Bl_{\mathbb{Q}^t}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$, *with $\mathbb{Q}^t$ a smooth quadric of dimension $\leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ not contained in a linear subspace of $\mathbb{Q}^n$.*

d) $Bl_p(V_d)$ *where V_d is $Bl_Y(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and Y is a submanifold of dimension $n-2$ and degree $\leq n$ contained in an hyperplane H such that $p \notin H$.*

e) $Bl_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$.

Note that if T is a point the condition $i_X \geq \dim T + 1 = 1$ is empty. In this case the theorem is actually the main theorem of [7], where Fano varieties which are the blow-up at a point of a smooth variety are classified (those varieties correspond to cases a) and b) with $t = 0$ and d) of the above theorem). That paper has been for us a very important source of inspiration.

In the appendix we propose a slight variation of a result of [6] relating the pseudoindexes of two Fano manifolds one of which is the image of the other through a birational contraction.

2. Background material

In (2.1) and (2.2) we recall basic definitions and facts concerning Fano-Mori contractions and families of rational curves; our notation is consistent with the one in [17] to which we refer the reader.
Afterwards, in (2.3), for the reader's convenience we recall some results of [1] and [11] which are frequently used in the rest of the paper.

2.1. **Fano-Mori contractions.** Let X be a smooth complex Fano variety of dimension n and let K_X be its canonical divisor. By the Cone Theorem the cone of effective 1-cycles which is contained in the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of 1-cyles modulo numerical equivalence, $\mathrm{NE}(X) \subset N_1(X)$, is polyhedral; a face of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is called an extremal face and an extremal face of dimension one is called an extremal ray.

From the structure of the cone follows that

Lemma 2.1. [7, Lemme 2.1] *Let X be a Fano variety and D an effective divisor on X. Then there exists an extremal ray $R \subset NE(X)$ such that $D \cdot R > 0$.*

To an extremal face σ is associated a morphism with connected fibers $\varphi_\sigma : X \to W$ onto a normal variety, which contracts the curves whose numerical class is in σ; φ_σ is called an extremal contraction or a Fano-Mori contraction.
A Cartier divisor H such that $H = \varphi_\sigma^* A$ for an ample divisor A on W is called a good supporting divisor of the map φ_σ (or of the face σ).
An extremal ray R (and the associated extremal contraction φ_R) is called numerically effective (nef for short) or of fiber type if $\dim W < \dim X$, otherwise the ray (and the contraction) is non nef or birational. This terminology is due to the fact that there exists an effective divisor E such that $E \cdot R < 0$ if and only if the ray is not nef. If the codimension of the exceptional locus of a birational ray R is equal to one the ray and the associated contraction are called divisorial, otherwise they are called small.

2.2. **Families of rational curves.** Let X be a normal projective variety and let $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ be the scheme parametrizing morphisms $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$. We consider the open subscheme $\mathrm{Hom}_{bir}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$, corresponding to those morphisms which are birational onto their image, and its normalization $\mathrm{Hom}^n_{bir}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}^n_{bir}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ and the quotient exists.

Definition 2.2. The space $\mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X)$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{Hom}^n_{bir}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, and the space $\mathrm{Univ}(X)$ is the quotient of the product action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ on $\mathrm{Hom}^n_{bir}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Definition 2.3. We define a family of rational curves to be an irreducible component $V \subset \mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X)$. Given a rational curve $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ we will call a family of deformations of f any irreducible component $V \subset \mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X)$ containing the equivalence class of f.

Given a family V of rational curves, we have the following basic diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} p^{-1}(V) =: U \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & X \\ V & \end{tikzcd}$$

where i is the map induced by the evaluation $ev : \mathrm{Hom}^n_{bir}(\mathbb{P}^1, X) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ and p is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. We define $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ to be the image of U in X; we say that V is a covering family if $\overline{\mathrm{Locus}(V)} = X$.
If we fix a point $x \in X$ everything can be repeated starting from the scheme $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{P}^1, X; 0 \mapsto x)$ parametrizing morphisms $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ which send $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ to x. Given a family $V \subseteq \mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X)$, we can consider the subscheme $V \cap \mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X, x)$ parametrizing curves in V passing through x; we usually denote by V_x a component of this subscheme.

Definition 2.4. Let V be a family of rational curves on X. Then

(a) V is unsplit if it is proper;

(b) V is locally unsplit if for the general $x \in \mathrm{Locus}(V)$ every component V_x of $V \cap \mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X, x)$ is proper;
(c) V is generically unsplit if there is at most a finite number of curves of V passing through two general points of $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$.

Proposition 2.5. [17, IV.2.6] *Let X be a smooth projective variety and V a family of rational curves. Assume either that V is generically unsplit and x is a general point in $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ or that V is unsplit and x is any point in $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ or that x is a point such that V_x is unsplit. Then*

(a) $\dim X + \deg V \leq \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V) + \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) + 1$;
(b) $\deg V \leq \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) + 1$.

This last proposition, in case V is the unsplit family of deformations of a minimal extremal rational curve, i.e. a curve of minimal degree in an extremal face of X, gives the fiber locus inequality:

Proposition 2.6. [15], [25] *Let φ be a Fano-Mori contraction of X and let $E = E(\varphi)$ be its exceptional locus; let S be an irreducible component of a (non trivial) fiber of φ. Then*

$$\dim E + \dim S \geq \dim X + l - 1$$

where

$$l = \min\{-K_X \cdot C \mid C \text{ is a rational curve in } S\}.$$

If φ is the contraction of a ray R, then l is called the length of the ray.

Definition 2.7. We define a Chow family of rational curves to be an irreducible component $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathrm{Chow}(X)$ parametrizing rational and connected 1-cycles. If V is a family of rational curves, the closure of the image of V in $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$ is called the Chow family associated to V.
We say that V is quasi-unsplit if every component of any reducible cycle in $\mathcal{V}$ is numerically proportional to V.

Let X be a smooth variety, $\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k$ Chow families of rational curves on X and Y a subset of X.

Definition 2.8. We denote by $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)_Y$ the set of points that can be joined to Y by a connected chain of k cycles belonging respectively to the families $\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k$.
We denote by $\mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)_Y$ the set of points that can be joined to Y by a connected chain of at most m cycles belonging to the families $\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k$.

Definition 2.9. We define a relation of rational connectedness with respect to $\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k$ on X in the following way: x and y are in $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)$ relation if there exists a chain of rational curves in $\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k$ which joins x and y, i.e. if $y \in \mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)_x$ for some m.

To the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)$ relation we can associate a fibration, at least on an open subset:

Theorem 2.10. [9],[17, IV.4.16] *There exist an open subvariety $X^0 \subset X$ and a proper morphism with connected fibers $\pi : X^0 \to Z^0$ such that*

(a) *the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)$ relation restricts to an equivalence relation on X^0;*
(b) *the fibers of π are equivalence classes for the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)$ relation;*

(c) *for every* $z \in Z^0$ *any two points in* $\pi^{-1}(z)$ *can be connected by a chain of at most* $2^{\dim X - \dim Z} - 1$ *cycles in* $\mathcal{V}^1, \dots, \mathcal{V}^k$.

The geometry of Fano varieties is strongly related to the properties of families of rational curves of low degree. The following is a fundamental theorem, due to Mori:

Theorem 2.11. [20] *Through every point of a Fano variety* X *there exists a rational curve of anticanonical degree* $\leq \dim X + 1$.

Remark 2.12. The families $\{V^i \subset \mathrm{Ratcurves}^n(X)\}$ containing rational curves with degree $\leq \dim X + 1$ are only a finite number, so, for at least one index i, we have that $\mathrm{Locus}(V^i) = X$. Among these families we choose one with minimal anticanonical degree, and we call it a minimal covering family.

Let X be a Fano variety and $\pi : X^0 \to Z^0$ a proper surjective morphism on a smooth quasiprojective variety Z^0 of positive dimension.
A relative version of Mori's theorem, [18, Theorem 2.1], states that, for a general point $z \in Z^0$, there exists a rational curve C on X of anticanonical degree $\leq \dim X + 1$ which meets $\pi^{-1}(z)$ without being contained in it (an horizontal curve, for short).
As in remark 2.12 we can find a family V of horizontal curves such that $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ dominates Z^0 and $\deg V$ is minimal among the families with this property. Such a family is called a minimal horizontal dominating family for π.

Lemma 2.13. [1, Lemma 6.5] *Let* X *be a Fano variety, let* $\pi : X \dashrightarrow Z$ *be the fibration associated to a rc*$(\mathcal{V}^1, \dots, \mathcal{V}^k)$ *relation and let* V *be a minimal horizontal dominating family for* π. *Then*

(a) *curves parametrized by* V *are numerically independent from curves contracted by* π;

(b) V *is locally unsplit;*

(c) *if* x *is a general point in* $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ *and* F *is the fiber containing* x, *then*

$$\dim(F \cap \mathrm{Locus}(V_x)) = 0.$$

2.3. **Chains of rational curves, numerical equivalence and cones.** In this subsection we present some results concerning the dimension, the maximum number of numerically independent curves and the cone of curves of subsets of the form $\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \dots, V^k)_Y$ or $\mathrm{ChLocus}(V^1, \dots, V^k)_Y$ when $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are unsplit families and Y is chosen in a suitable way.

Definition 2.14. Let $V^1, \dots, V^k$ be unsplit families on X. We will say that $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are numerically independent if the numerical classes $[V^1], \dots, [V^k]$ are linearly independent in the vector space $N^1(X)$. If moreover $C \subset X$ is a curve we will say that $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are numerically independent from C if in $N^1(X)$ the class of C is not contained in the vector subspace generated by $[V^1], \dots, [V^k]$.

The following lemma is a generalization of proposition 2.5 and of [8, Theoreme 5.2]

Lemma 2.15. [1, Lemma 5.4] *Let* $Y \subset X$ *be a closed subset and* V *an unsplit family. Assume that curves contained in* Y *are numerically independent from curves in* V, *and that* $Y \cap \mathrm{Locus}(V) \neq \emptyset$. *Then for a general* $y \in Y \cap \mathrm{Locus}(V)$

(a) $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V)_Y \geq \dim(Y \cap \mathrm{Locus}(V)) + \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_y)$;

(b) $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V)_Y \geq \dim Y + \deg V - 1$.

Moreover, if $V^1, \dots, V^k$ *are numerically independent unsplit families such that curves contained in* Y *are numerically independent from curves in* $V^1, \dots, V^k$ *then either* $\operatorname{Locus}(V^1, \dots, V^k)_Y = \emptyset$ *or*

(c) $\dim \operatorname{Locus}(V^1, \dots, V^k)_Y \geq \dim Y + \sum \deg V^i - k$.

Notation: Let S be a subset of X. We write $N_1(S) = \langle [V^1], \dots, [V^k] \rangle$ if the numerical class in X of every curve $C \subset S$ can be written as $[C] = \sum_i a_i[C_i]$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $C_i \in V^i$. We write $\operatorname{NE}(S) = \langle [V^1], \dots, [V^k] \rangle$ (or $\operatorname{NE}(S) = \langle [R_1], \dots, [R_k] \rangle$) if the numerical class in X of every curve $C \subset S$ can be written as $[C] = \sum_i a_i[C_i]$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $C_i \in V^i$ (or $[C_i]$ in R_i).

Lemma 2.16. [21, Lemma 1] *Let* $Y \subset X$ *be a closed subset and* V *an unsplit family of rational curves. Then every curve contained in* $\operatorname{Locus}(V)_Y$ *is numerically equivalent to a linear combination with rational coefficients*

$$\lambda C_Y + \mu C_V,$$

where C_Y *is a curve in* Y, C_V *belongs to the family* V *and* $\lambda \geq 0$.

Corollary 2.17. [1, Corollary 4.4] *If* X *is rationally connected with respect to some (quasi) unsplit families* $V^1, \dots, V^k$ *then* $N_1(X) = \langle [V^1], \dots, [V^k] \rangle$.

Proposition 2.18. [1, Corollary 4.2], [11, Corollary 2.23]

(a) *Let* V *be a quasi-unsplit family of rational curves and* x *a point in* $\operatorname{Locus}(V)$. *Then* $NE(\operatorname{ChLocus}_m(V)_x) = \langle [V] \rangle$.
(b) *Let* V *be a family of rational curves and* x *a point in* X *such that* V_x *is unsplit. Then* $NE(\operatorname{Locus}(V_x)) = \langle [V] \rangle$.
(c) *Let* σ *be an extremal face of* $NE(X)$, F *a fiber of the associated contraction and* V *an unsplit family independent from* σ. *Then* $NE(\operatorname{ChLocus}_m(V)_F) = \langle [\sigma], [V] \rangle$.

Corollary 2.19. *Let* $D \subset X$ *be an effective divisor and* V *an unsplit family numerically independent from curves in* D *such that* $D \cdot V > 0$; *then, for every* $x \in \operatorname{Locus}(V)$ *we have* $\dim \operatorname{Locus}(V_x) = 1$; *in particular, if* V *is the family of deformations of a minimal extremal rational curve in a ray* R *then every non trivial fiber of* φ_R *is one dimensional.*

Proof. Since $D \cdot V > 0$, for every $x \in \operatorname{Locus}(V)$ we have $D \cap \operatorname{Locus}(V_x) \neq \emptyset$, and so $\dim(D \cap \operatorname{Locus}(V_x)) \geq \dim \operatorname{Locus}(V_x) - 1$. It follows that $\dim \operatorname{Locus}(V_x) = 1$, since a curve in the intersection would be a curve in D not independent from V. □

3. Some technical results

In order to make the exposition clearer, we collect in this section some technical lemmata we will use in the proofs of the main theorems.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety,* $A \subset X$ *a subvariety of dimension* m *and* V *a covering family of rational curves for* X.
Suppose that for a point $x \in X \setminus A$ *the family* V_x *is unsplit and that* $\dim \operatorname{Locus}(V_x) \geq m + 2$. *Then the general curve in* V_x *does not meet* A.

Proof. We can assume that V_x is irreducible; consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} U_x \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & X \\ V_x & \end{tikzcd}$$

and the inverse image $i^{-1}(A)$; since V_x is unsplit the map i is finite to one away from $i^{-1}(x)$, so it is finite to one when restricted to $i^{-1}(A)$ and so $\dim i^{-1}(A) = \dim A = m$. It follows that $i^{-1}(A)$ cannot dominate V_x which has dimension $= \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) - 1 \geq m + 1$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that a Fano variety X is the blow up of a Fano variety Y along a smooth subvariety T such that $\dim T \leq i_X + l(R) - 3$ and denote by E the exceptional divisor.*
Then, if V_Y is a minimal dominating family of rational curves for Y and V^* is a family of deformations of the strict transform of a general curve in V_Y we have $E \cdot V^* = 0$.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $E \cdot V^* > 0$. By the canonical bundle formula we have

$$-K_Y \cdot V_Y = -K_X \cdot V^* + l(R) E \cdot V^*,$$

hence

$$-K_Y \cdot V_Y \geq i_X + l(R) \geq \dim T + 3.$$

We can therefore apply lemma 3.1, and obtain that the general curve of V_Y does not meet T, a contradiction with $E \cdot V^* > 0$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a Fano variety whose cone of curves is generated by a divisorial extremal ray R_1 with exceptional locus E and a fiber type extremal ray R_2, and let V be a quasi unsplit covering family of rational curves.*
Then $[V] \in R_2$; in particular $E \cdot V > 0$.

Proof. Consider the rc$\mathcal{V}$ fibration $X \dashrightarrow Z$. By proposition 2.18 we have $\dim Z > 0$ since V is quasi unsplit and $\rho_X = 2$.
Then V is extremal by [11, Lemma 2.28] since X has not small contractions.
The last assertion follows from lemma 2.1, since $E \cdot R_1 < 0$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a Fano variety of dimension n and pseudoindex $i_X \geq 2$ whose cone of curves is generated by a divisorial extremal ray R_1 with exceptional locus E and by a fiber type extremal ray R_2. Suppose that $l(R_1) + i_X \geq n$ and that there exists a covering family V of rational curves of degree $\leq n+1$ such that $E \cdot V = 0$.*
Then V is not quasi unsplit and all the reducible cycles in the associated Chow family $\mathcal{V}$ have two irreducible components, C_1 and C_2, where C_1 and C_2 are curves in the rays R_1 and R_2 respectively.

Proof. First of all we note that, since $E \cdot V = 0$, by lemma 3.3 V is not quasi unsplit.
Let $C = \sum C_i$ be a reducible cycle in $\mathcal{V}$. At least one of the components of C, let it be C_1, has negative intersection with E; in fact, if $E \cdot C_i = 0$ for every i the effective divisor E would be numerically trivial on the whole $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ since $\rho_X = 2$.
Denote by V^1 a family of deformations of C_1; if V^1 is not unsplit then there exists

a reducible cycle $\sum C_{1j}$ in $\mathcal{V}^1$, and for at least one of the components, call it C_{11}, we have $E \cdot C_{11} < 0$.
Denote by V^{11} a family of deformations of C_{11}. If V^{11} is not unsplit, we repeat the argument, and the procedure terminates because $-K_X \cdot V > -K_X \cdot V^1 > -K_X \cdot V^{11} > \cdots > 0$.
Therefore every reducible cycle $\sum C_i$ in $\mathcal{V}$ has an irreducible component on which E is negative and such that its family of deformations is unsplit.
Let Γ be one of these components and W a family of deformations of Γ; since $E \cdot \Gamma < 0$ we have $\text{Locus}(W) \subset E$. We claim that $[W] \in R_1$. Assume by contradiction that W is independent from R_1.
Denoted by F a fiber of φ_{R_1} meeting $\text{Locus}(W)$, by lemma 2.15 we have

$$n-1 \geq \dim \text{Locus}(W)_F \geq i_X - 1 + \dim F \geq n-1.$$

This forces $\text{Locus}(W) = E$, so $F \subset \text{Locus}(W)$ and we can apply part a) of lemma 2.15 and get

$$n-1 = i_X - 1 + \dim F = \dim \text{Locus}(W)_F \geq \dim F + \dim \text{Locus}(W_y)$$

which implies that $\dim \text{Locus}(W_y) = i_X - 1$ so W is covering, a contradiction.
Therefore $[W] \in R_1$ and for every reducible cycle $\sum C_k$ in $\mathcal{V}$ we have

$$n+1 \geq -K_X \cdot V = -K_X \cdot \sum C_k \geq l(R_1) + (k-1)i_X \geq n. \tag{1}$$

Hence $k = 2$ and every reducible cycle has two components, C_1, which belongs to R_1 and C_2.

From (1) it also follows that $-K_Y \cdot V \geq n$, and this implies that V is not locally unsplit. To prove this fact we assume by contradiction that V is locally unsplit.
If $-K_X \cdot V = n+1$, then $\rho_X = 1$ by proposition 2.18 b), while if $-K_X \cdot V = n$ for a general $x \in X$ $D_x = \text{Locus}(V)_x$ is a divisor; this divisor is zero on R_1 by corollary 2.19, since R_1 has fibers of dimension ≥ 2 and, by proposition 2.18 b), $\text{NE}(D_x) = \langle [V] \rangle$.
On the other hand $\varphi(D_x)$ is an effective, hence ample divisor on Y, so it meets the center of the blow up which has positive dimension. It follows that $D \cap E \neq \emptyset$; this, together with $D \cdot R_1 = 0$ implies that D contains fibers of φ_{R_1}, a contradiction with $\text{NE}(D_x) = \langle [V] \rangle$.

Since V is covering, not locally unsplit and $\text{Locus}(V_1) = E$, the family V_2 of deformations of C_2 is a covering family; we have $-K_X \cdot V_2 \leq i_X + 1 < 2i_X$, so V_2 is an unsplit family and therefore, by lemma 3.3 its numerical class belongs to the ray R_2. □

4. A BOUND ON THE LENGTH

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold with $\rho_X \geq 2$, let R be an extremal ray of X and denote by* Exc(R) *its exceptional locus. Then there exists a family of rational curves V independent from R such that, for some $x \in$* Exc(R)*, V_x is unsplit.*
Moreover, if R is not nef and W is a minimal covering family, then, among the families of deformations of irreducible components of cycles in $\mathcal{W}$, there is a family V as above and one of the following happens

- a) $\text{Exc}(R) \subset \overline{\text{Locus}(V)}$.
- b) *There exists a reducible cycle $C_R + \sum_{i=1}^k C_i$ in $\mathcal{W}$ with $[C_R] \in R$.*

Proof. If R is a nef ray it's enough to choose V as the family of deformation of a minimal extremal rational curve in any ray $R_1 \neq R$, so we can assume that R is not nef.

Let W be a minimal covering family for X. Note that, since W is covering, it is certainly independent from R: in fact, since R is not nef there exists an effective divisor H such that $H \cdot R < 0$ so curves whose numerical class is in R are contained in H.

If there exists $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ such that W_x is unsplit then we are done, otherwise for every $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ there exists in $\mathcal{W}$ a reducible cycle $\sum_1^m C_i$, with rational components, passing through x.

Denote by T^i the families of deformations of the curves C_i; since the number of such families is finite, for at least one index j we have $\mathrm{Exc}(R) \subset \overline{\mathrm{Locus}(T^j)}$.

If T^j is independent from R then let $W^1 = T^j$, otherwise let $C_j + \sum_{i\neq j} C_i$ be a reducible cycle in $\mathcal{W}$ passing through a point $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$. Since $[W] = [C_j + \sum_{i\neq j} C_i]$ is independent from R and every component which is proportional to R is contained in $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$ there exists an irreducible component C_k independent from R which meets $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$. In this case denote by W^1 the family of deformations of C_k.

We have thus found a family W^1 which is independent from R such that $\overline{\mathrm{Locus}(W^1)} \cap \mathrm{Exc}(R) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover either we can choose W^1 such that $\mathrm{Exc}(R) \subset \overline{\mathrm{Locus}(W^1)}$ or there exists a reducible cycle in $\mathcal{W}$ with one component belonging to R. Let $x_1 \in \mathrm{Locus}(W^1) \cap E$. If W^1_x is unsplit we are done, otherwise we repeat the argument.

Since $n+1 > \deg W > \deg W^1 > \cdots > 0$ the procedure terminates. □

Proof of inequality (*). Let $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ and V be as in lemma 4.1. Let $\varphi_R : X \to Y$ be the extremal contraction associated to R and let F_x be the fiber of φ_R which contains x. The numerical class of every curve in F_x is in R and, by proposition 2.18, b) the numerical class of every curve in $\mathrm{Locus}(V_x)$ is proportional to $[V]$ so, since V is independent from R, we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \cap F_x = 0$. Moreover, by inequalities 2.5 and 2.6, we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \geq i_X - 1$ and $\dim F_x \geq \dim X - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + l(R) - 1$. Combining these inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X &\geq \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) + \dim F_x \\ &\geq i_X + \dim X - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + l(R) - 2 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

which gives

$$i_X + l(R) \leq \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + 2,$$

and the proposition is proved. □

5. THE BORDER CASES

Proof of 1.1. First of all note that, since the length of a fiber type extremal ray is $\leq n+1$, equality holding if and only if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$, and the length of a birational extremal ray is $\leq n-1$, the assumptions of the theorem imply $i_X \geq 2$.

Let V the family given by lemma 4.1, let $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ be a point such that V_x is unsplit and let F_x be the fiber of φ_R containing x. If equality holds in (*), then equality holds everywhere in (2); in particular we have

$$\dim F_x = l(R) + \dim X - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) - 1 \tag{3}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) = i_X - 1. \tag{4}$$

The last equality, together with inequality 2.5 yields that $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V) = n$, so V is a covering family, and that $\deg V = i_X$, so V is unsplit.

If $\varphi_R : X \to Y$ is of fiber type then we can apply [21, Theorem 1] to get that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{i_X - 1} \times \mathbb{P}^{l(R)-1}$.

Suppose now that $\varphi_R : X \to Y$ is divisorial and call E the divisor $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$.
By (3) we have $\dim F_x = l(R)$; note that, since V is covering and unsplit, this equality holds for every F, hence we can apply [2, Theorem 5.1] and we obtain that φ_R is the blow up of Y along a smooth subvariety T.
Let F be any fiber of φ_R; by lemma 2.15 b) we have

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V)_F \geq \dim F + i_X - 1 \geq n,$$

so, by proposition 2.18 c) we have $NE(X) = \langle [R], [R_V] \rangle$, where R_V is the ray spanned by the numerical class of V.

The target Y of φ_R is a smooth variety with $\rho_Y = 1$ covered by rational curves, hence a Fano variety; let V_Y be a minimal dominating family of rational curves for Y and let V^* be the family of deformations of the strict transform of a general curve in V_Y. By corollary 3.2 we have $E \cdot V^* = 0$, hence, by lemma 3.4, the family V^* is not quasi unsplit and all the reducible cycles in the associated Chow family $\mathcal{V}^*$ have two irreducible components, C_R and C_V, where C_R and C_V are curves in the rays R and R_V respectively. In particular

$$n + 1 \geq -K_Y \cdot V_Y = -K_X \cdot V^* = -K_X \cdot (C_R + C_V) \geq l(R) + i_X = n + 1, \tag{5}$$

and $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ by the proof of [16, Theorem 1.1]. (Note that the assumptions of the quoted result are different, but the proof actually works in our case, since for a very general y the pointed family V_{Y_y} has the properties 1-3 in [16, Theorem 2.1]).

By equation 5 we also have $-K_X \cdot C_R = l(R)$ and $-K_X \cdot C_V = i_X$, so C_R and C_V are minimal extremal rational curves; in particular $E \cdot C_R = -1$ and therefore, since $E \cdot V^* = 0$ we have $E \cdot C_V = 1$.
Let $\psi : X \to Z$ be the contraction of the ray R_V; we know that $E \cdot C_V > 0$, so every fiber of ψ meets a fiber F of φ_R and therefore its dimension is $n - \dim F = i_X - 1$, since fibers of different extremal ray contractions can meet only in points.
Let now G be a general fiber of ψ; G is smooth, and, by adjunction

$$K_G + (\dim G + 1)E_G = \mathcal{O}_G,$$

so G is a projective space and $E \cap G$ is an hyperplane which dominates T. Therefore T is a projective space by [19, Theorem 4.1].

The bound on the dimension of T follows from the fact that $\dim T = n - l(R) - 1 = i_X - 2$ and $2i_X \leq l(R) + i_X = n + 1$. □

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of Picard number $\rho_X \geq 2$, and let R a fiber type or divisorial extremal ray such that*

$$i_X + l(R) = \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) + 1.$$

Then $\rho_X \leq 3$ and $\rho_X = 3$ if and only if X is

a) $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$.
b) $Bl_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$.
c) $Bl_p(V_d)$ *where* V_d *is* $Bl_Y(\mathbb{P}^n)$ *and* Y *is a submanifold of dimension* $n-2$ *and degree* $\leq n$ *contained in an hyperplane* H *such that* $p \notin H$.

If $\rho_X = 2$, *except for the cases*

d) $Bl_p(\mathbb{Q}^n)$.
e) $Bl_{\mathbb{P}^{l(R)-2}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

the cone of curves $NE(X)$ *is generated by* R *and by a fiber type extremal ray and moreover* $i_X \geq 2$.

Proof. If $i_X = 1$ and R is divisorial we have $l(R) \geq n-1$ so, by [2, Theorem 1.1], X is the blow up at a point of a variety X'; by [7, Theorem 1.1] we are in case c) or in case d).
If $i_X = 1$ and R is of fiber type then $l(R) = n$; in particular $\varphi_R : X \to B$ is equidimensional with $n-1$-dimensional fibers over a smooth curve B. The general fiber of φ_R is a projective space by [12, Corollary 0.4] or [16, Theorem 1.1].
Over an open Zariski subset U of B the morphism p is a projective bundle. By taking the closure in X of a hyperplane section of p defined over the open set U we get a global relative hyperplane section divisor (we use $\rho(X/B) = 1$) hence p is a projective bundle globally by [13, Lemma 2.12].
Since X is a Fano manifold $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Write $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\oplus \mathcal{O}(a_i))$ with $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_i \leq a_{n-1}$. A straightforward computation shows that X is Fano if and only if either all the a_i are zero or all the a_i but the last are zero and $a_{n-1} = 1$. In the first case $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $i_X = 2$, in the second case $X = Bl_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

From now on we can assume $i_X \geq 2$.
Let V the family given by lemma 4.1, let $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ be a point such that V_x is unsplit and let F_x be the fiber of φ_R containing x. First of all we prove that V is an unsplit family. In fact, if V were not unsplit then $-K_X \cdot V \geq 2i_X$ and $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \geq 2i_X - 1$.
In this case we would have

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) + \dim F_x \geq 2i_X - 1 + n + l(R) - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) - 1 \geq$$

$$\geq n + i_X - 1 > n$$

and so $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \cap F_x \geq 1$, a contradiction, since V is independent from R.

Now we divide the proof in two cases, according to the type of R.

Case 1: R is nef.

Recall that, according to the proof of lemma 4.1, in this case V is the family of deformations of a minimal extremal rational curve in a ray R_1 different from R.

Suppose that R_1 is not nef; by inequality 2.6, if F is a fiber of the associated contraction we have $\dim F \geq i_X$ and, by lemma 2.15

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(R)_F \geq \dim F + l(R) - 1 \geq i_X + l(R) - 1 = n.$$

It follows that $\dim F = i_X$ and $X = \mathrm{Locus}(R)_F$, so $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [R], [R_1] \rangle$ by proposition 2.18 c).
Since $\dim F = i_X = l(R_1)$ for every fiber of the contracion associated to R_1, this contraction is a smooth blow up by [2, Theorem 5.1].

We can repeat the second part of the proof of theorem 1.1, exchanging R_1 and R and obtain that $X = Bl_{\mathbb{P}^{l(R)-2}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$, so we are in case e).

Suppose now that R_1 is nef and consider the $\mathrm{rc}(R, R_1)$ fibration $\pi_{R,R_1} : X - - > Z$. Let F be a general fiber of π_{R,R_1} and $x \in F$ a point; F contains $\mathrm{Locus}(R, R_1)_x$ which has dimension $\geq i_X + l(R) - 2 = n - 1$ by lemma 2.15, so $\dim Z \leq 1$.

Suppose that $\dim Z = 1$ and let V' be a minimal horizontal dominating family for π_{R,R_1}; by lemma 2.13 c) $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V')_x = 1$ and so $-K_X \cdot V' = 2 = i_X$.
In particular V' is unsplit and, by 2.5, covering. We can apply [21, Theorem 1] to conclude that $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ and we are in case a).

If $\dim Z = 0$ then X is $\mathrm{rc}(R, R_1)$-connected and $\rho_X = 2$ by corollary 2.17; in this case we clearly have $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [R], [R_1] \rangle$.

Case 2: R is not nef.

Let W be a minimal covering family for X and let V be a family as in lemma 4.1, chosen among the families of deformations of irreducible components of cycles in $\mathcal{W}$.

Step 1 V is an unsplit covering family.

Let $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ be a point such that V_x is unsplit and let F_x be the fiber of φ_R containing x. Since V is independent from R, we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \cap F_x = 0$, hence $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \leq n - \dim F_x$, giving rise to the following chain of inequalities:

$$-K_X \cdot V - 1 \leq \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \leq n - \dim F_x \leq n - l(R) \leq i_X.$$

This implies that $-K_X \cdot V \leq i_X + 1$ and therefore that V is unsplit, since we are assuming that $i_X \geq 2$.

Suppose that V is not a covering family. Then, by inequality 2.5, $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \geq 2$ and therefore $E := \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ is not contained in $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$. In fact, in this case, by lemma 2.15 a) we would have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V)_F \geq \dim F + \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) = n$, a contradiction.
So we are in case b) of lemma 4.1 and there exists a reducible cycle $C_R + \sum C_i$ in $\mathcal{W}$ with $[C_R] \in R$. Hence we have

$$n \geq K_X \cdot W \geq -K_X \cdot (C_R + \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i) \geq l(R) + k i_X \geq n + (k-1) i_X$$

forcing $-K_X \cdot W = n$ and $k = 1$.
We have thus proved that in $\mathcal{W}$ there exists a reducible cycle $C_R + C_V$, with C_R in R and C_V in V.
Let $D = \mathrm{Locus}(W_x)$ for a general $x \in X$; by proposition 2.18 b) $\mathrm{NE}(D) = \langle [W] \rangle$.
By corollary 2.19, since the fibers of φ_R are at least two dimensional we have $D \cdot R = 0$; by the same corollary, since $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \geq 2$ we have $D \cdot V = 0$. This implies also that $D \cdot W = D \cdot (C_R + C_V) = 0$.
By lemma 2.1 there exists an extremal ray R_1 such that $D \cdot R_1 > 0$; let V^1 be a family of deformations of a minimal curve in R_1. By lemma 2.15 b) we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1)_D \geq \dim D + i_X - 1 \geq n$, hence $X = \mathrm{Locus}(V^1)_D$ and $\rho_X = 2$.
This is a contradiction, since D is zero on R and V and so, if $\rho_X = 2$ it would be zero on the entire cone. Therefore V is a covering family as claimed. □

Step 2 $\rho_X \leq 3$.

Let F be a fiber of φ_R; by lemma 2.15 b) we have

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V)_F \geq \dim F + i_X - 1 \geq n - 1$$

If $X = \mathrm{Locus}(V)_F$ then, by proposition 2.18 c) $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$ and we are done. Note that this is always the case if $\dim F > l(R)$, so we assume from now on that φ_R is equidimensional with fibers of dimension $l(R)$, hence it is a smooth blow up by [2, Theorem 5.1].
An irreducible component of $\mathrm{Locus}(V)_F$ is thus a divisor $D \subset X$ such that $\mathrm{NE}(D) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$. If $D \cdot V > 0$ then $X = \mathrm{ChLocus}_2(V)_F$ and $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$ again by proposition 2.18 c), so we can assume $D \cdot V = 0$.
By lemma 2.1 there exists an extremal ray R_1 such that $D \cdot R_1 > 0$.

If $R_1 \not\subset \mathrm{NE}(D)$ then, by lemma 2.15 b), denoted by V^1 a family of deformations of a minimal extremal rational curve in R_1, we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1)_D = n$. By lemma 2.16 $N_1(X) = \langle [R], [V], [V^1] \rangle$, so $\rho_X \leq 3$, equality holding if and only if R_1 is not contained in the vector subspace of $N_1(X)$ spanned by R and $[V]$.

If $R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(D)$ then $R_1 = R$ because $D \cdot V = 0$. It follows that $\mathrm{Locus}(R)_D = E$, so $N_1(E) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$.
If $E \cdot V > 0$ then $\mathrm{Locus}(V)_E = X$ and $N_1(X) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$ by lemma 2.16, so $\rho_X = 2$. We claim that we cannot have $E \cdot V = 0$; in fact, in this case every curve of V which meets E is entirely contained in E, so $E = \mathrm{Locus}(V)_F = D$ and we have $D \cdot R < 0$. Recalling that $D \cdot V = 0$ we have that D is not positive on $\mathrm{NE}(D)$, a contradiction, since we are assuming $R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(D)$ and $D \cdot R_1 > 0$.

Step 3 $\rho_X = 2$, description of the cone.

We have to prove that $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [R], [R_1] \rangle$ where R_1 is a fiber type extremal ray. By step two this is the case if for a fiber F of φ_R either we have $X = \mathrm{Locus}(V)_F$ or an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Locus}(V)_F$ is a divisor D such that $D \cdot V > 0$. We can therefore assume that an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Locus}(V)_F$ is a divisor D such that $D \cdot V = 0$; moreover we know that there exists an extremal ray R_1 of X on which D is positive.

If $R_1 \not\subset \mathrm{NE}(D)$ then $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [R], [R_1] \rangle$ and moreover, by corollary 2.19 the contraction associated to R_1 has one dimensional fibers, and so it is of fiber type, since $i_X \geq 2$.

If $R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(D)$ then $R_1 = R$ thus, if V is not extremal, D is negative on an extremal ray R_2, and so $\mathrm{Exc}(R_2) \subset D$, against $\mathrm{NE}(D) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$. Therefore V is extremal and $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$.

Step 4 $\rho_X = 3$, description of the cone.

By step two, if $\rho_X = 3$, then $\mathrm{Locus}(V)_F$ has dimension $n - 1$; moreover, denoted by D one irreducible component of $\mathrm{Locus}(V)_F$ we have $D \cdot V = 0$ and $D \cdot R_1 > 0$ for a ray R_1 not contained in the vector subspace of $N_1(X)$ spanned by R and $[V]$. Since $\mathrm{NE}(D) = \langle [R], [V] \rangle$, by corollary 2.19, every fiber of the contraction associated to R_1 is one dimensional. Combining this with $i_X \geq 2$, by inequality 2.6, we have that V^1 is a covering unsplit family.
By lemma 2.15, denoting again by F a fiber of φ_R we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V, V^1)_F = \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, V)_F = n$, so $X = \mathrm{Locus}(V, V^1)_F = \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, V)_F$.
We can write $X = \mathrm{Locus}(V, V^1)_F = \mathrm{Locus}(V)_{\mathrm{Locus}(V^1)_F}$ and therefore, by lemma 2.16 and proposition 2.18 the numerical class of every curve in X can be written as

a linear combination $a[V]+b[V^1]+c[R]$ with $b,c\geq 0$.
On the other hand $X=\mathrm{Locus}(V^1,V)_F=\mathrm{Locus}(V^1)_{\mathrm{Locus}(V)_F}$, so the numerical class of every curve in X can be written as a linear combination $a[V]+b[V^1]+c[R]$ with $a,c\geq 0$. By the uniqueness of the decomposition it follows that $\mathrm{NE}(X)=\langle[V],[V^1],[R]\rangle$.

Step 5 If $\rho_X=3, i_X\geq 2$ and R is not nef then $X\simeq Bl_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\{p\}}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$.

We have thus proved that the cone of curves of X is generated by R, which is the ray associated to a smooth blow up $\varphi_R : X\to Y$, and by other two fiber type extremal rays, call them R_1 and R_2, which both have length two. In particular we have $i_X=2$, so $l(R)=n-2$ and $\dim F_R=n-2$ for every fiber of φ_R.
Moreover, since $E=\mathrm{Exc}(R)$ is non negative on R_1 and R_2, by [25, Proposition 3.4] Y is a Fano variety.
The effective divisor E is positive on at least one of the rays R_i by lemma 2.1; let us assume that $E\cdot R_1>0$. Let σ be the extremal face spanned by R and R_1 and consider the associated contraction φ_σ.
Let $x\in X$ be a point, let Γ_1 be a curve in R_1 through x and let F be a fiber of φ_R meeting Γ_1. The fiber of φ_σ through x contains $\mathrm{Locus}(R_1)_F$, which has dimension $n-1$ by lemma 2.15, so the target of φ_σ is a smooth curve, which has to be rational since X is Fano. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\varphi_R"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi_\sigma"'] & Y \arrow[d, "\psi_\sigma"] \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{tikzcd}$$

The general fiber F_σ of φ_σ is, by adjunction, a Fano variety of index ≥ 2 which has a divisorial extremal ray of length $\dim F_\sigma-1$, so, by theorem 1.1, $F_\sigma\simeq Bl_p\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. It follows that the general fiber of ψ_σ is $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. The Fano variety Y has a fiber type extremal ray ψ_σ of length $\dim Y$ while the other ray is of fiber type, since the associated contraction contracts the images of curves in R_2. Therefore $i_Y\geq 2$.
We can thus apply theorem 1.1 to conclude that $Y\simeq\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Let $T\simeq\mathbb{P}^1$ be the center of the blow up; we claim that T is a fiber of the projection $Y\to\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. By contradiction, assume that this is not the case. Let $C\simeq\mathbb{P}^1$ be a fiber of the projection $Y\to\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ meeting T and let $\widetilde{C}$ be the strict transform of C.
By the canonical bundle formula we have

$$-K_X\cdot\widetilde{C}=-K_Y\cdot C-l(R)E\cdot\widetilde{C}\leq 2-l(R)\leq 0,$$

and so X is not a Fano variety, a contradiction. □

6. Blow ups

Proof of 1.3. If $i_X+l(R)=n+1$, by theorem 1.1 we have that $X=Bl_{\mathbb{P}^t}(\mathbb{P}^n)$, with $t\leq\frac{n-3}{2}$.

We can thus assume that $i_X+l(R)=n$. By theorem 5.1, if $\rho_X\geq 3$, then X is either $Bl_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\{p\}}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ or $Bl_p(V_d)$ where V_d is $Bl_Y(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and Y is a submanifold of dimension $n-2$ and degree $\leq n$ contained in an hyperplane which does not contain p. Note that case a) of theorem 5.1 has been excluded since it is not a blow up.

We can thus assume, from now on, that $\rho_X = 2$; again by theorem 5.1 either $X \simeq Bl_p(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ or $\rho_X = 2$, $i_X \geq 2$ and the cone of curves of X is generated by R and by a fiber type extremal ray R_V. (Case e) of theorem 5.1 has been excluded since in that case R is a fiber type ray).

The target Y of φ_R is a smooth variety with $\rho_Y = 1$ covered by rational curves, hence a Fano variety; let V_Y be a minimal dominating family of rational curves for Y and let V^* be the family of deformations of the strict transform of a general curve in V_Y. The center of the blow up T, has dimension $\leq \dim Y - 3$ since

$$\operatorname{codim} T - 1 = l(R) \geq i_X \geq 2,$$

therefore we can apply corollary 3.2 and obtain that $E \cdot V^* = 0$.

Since $E \cdot V^* = 0$, by lemma 3.4, the family V^* is not quasi unsplit and all the reducible cycles in the associated Chow family $\mathcal{V}^*$ have two irreducible components, C_1 and C_2, where C_1 and C_2 are curves in the rays R and R_V respectively.
Let Γ_R and Γ_V be curves in R and R_V respectively with minimal anticanonical degree. Since φ_R is a smooth blow up $E \cdot \Gamma_R = -1$, hence the numerical class of every curve in R is an integral multiple of $[\Gamma_R]$; in particular we can write $[C_1] = m_1[\Gamma_R]$ with m_1 a positive integer. By the canonical bundle formula

$$\begin{aligned} n+1 &\geq -K_Y \cdot V_Y = -K_X \cdot V^* = -K_X \cdot (C_1 + C_2) \geq \\ &\geq m_1 l(R) + i_X \geq (m_1 - 1) l(R) + n. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Recalling that $l(R) \geq i_X \geq 2$ we have $m_1 = 1$, i.e. $[C_1] = [\Gamma_R]$. It follows that $E \cdot C_2 = 1$, so $[C_2] = [\Gamma_V]$ and $[V^*] = [\Gamma_R + \Gamma_V]$.

Consider now the contraction of R_V, $\psi : X \to Z$ and let F be any fiber of ψ.
Since $E \cdot \Gamma_V > 0$ the fiber F meets a fiber F_R of φ_R and therefore $\dim F \leq n - \dim F_R = i_X$.
On the other hand, by inequality 2.6 $\dim F \geq l(R_V) - 1 \geq i_X - 1$, so the length of R_V is either i_X or $i_X + 1$. In the first case, by equation 6 we have $-K_Y \cdot V_Y = n$, while in the second we have $-K_Y \cdot V_Y = n + 1$.
The contraction ψ is supported by $K_X + i_X E$ in the first case and by $K_X + (i_X + 1)E$ in the second; in both cases, since for every fiber of ψ we have $i_X - 1 \leq \dim F \leq i_X$, the target variety Z is smooth by [3, Theorem 4.1].

The general fiber of ψ has dimension either $i_X - 1$ or i_X, so the dimension of Z is either $l(R) + 1$ or $l(R)$. We divide the proof in two cases, accordingly.

Case 1 $\dim Z = l(R) + 1$.

In this case ψ is supported by $K_X + i_X E$, its general fiber has dimension $i_X - 1$ and it is a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{i_X - 1}$ by [3, Theorem 4.1], while jumping fibers, if they exist, have dimension i_X and are projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^{i_X}$, again by [3, Theorem 4.1].

We claim that, for at least one fiber F of ψ, we have $E \cap F = \mathbb{P}^{i_X - 1}$. The claim is clearly true if either E contains a fiber of dimension $i_X - 1$ or, being $E \cdot \Gamma_V = 1$, if ψ has a jumping fiber (E cannot contain a jumping fiber F, otherwise, by lemma 2.15 a) we will have $\dim E \geq \dim \operatorname{Locus}(R)_F \geq i_X + l(R) \geq n$).
Suppose by contradiction that neither of these two possibilities happens. The restriction of ψ to E is thus an equidimensional morphism with general fiber a projective space, such that E restricted to the general fiber is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, so ψ makes E a projective bundle over Z.

Therefore E, which is also a projective bundle over T, has two projective bundle structures and $\rho_E = 2$ so, by [22, Theorem 2], E is the projectivization of the tangent bundle of a projective space, but this is impossible since the two fibrations of E have fibers of dimension $i_X - 2$ and $l(R)$ and these two dimensions are different, being $l(R) \geq i_X$, so the claim is proved.

It follows that either ψ has a jumping fiber or E contains a fiber of ψ; in both cases T, the center of the blow up, is dominated by the intersection of E with this fiber, and so it is a projective space of dimension $i_X - 1$ by [19, Theorem 4.1].

To finish the proof, we have to show that $Y \simeq \mathbb{Q}^n$, and we will do this proving the existence of a line bundle $L_Y \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ such that $-K_Y = nL_Y$ and applying the Kobayashi-Ochiai theorem.
Take a line l in T and denote by Y_l the inverse image $\varphi_R^{-1}(l)$; Y_l is a projective bundle over a smooth rational curve, so a toric variety. The restriction $\psi_{|Y_l} : Y_l \to Z$ is thus a surjective morphism from a toric variety to a smooth variety with Picard number one, so Z is a projective space by [22, Theorem 1].
Let L be the line bundle $\psi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) + E$; we have $L \cdot R = 0$ and therefore there exists $L_Y \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ such that $\varphi_R^* L_Y = L$.
Moreover, since $L \cdot V^* = 1$ we have $L_Y \cdot V_Y = 1$, so, recalling that $-K_Y \cdot V_Y = \dim Y$ we get $-K_Y = nL_Y$ with and we conclude that $Y \simeq \mathbb{Q}^n$ by the Kobayashi-Ochiai theorem.

Case 2 $\dim Z = l(R)$.

In this case, as noted above, every fiber of ψ has dimension $i_X + 1$. The contraction ψ is supported either by $K_X + i_X E$ and it is a projective bundle or by $K_X + (i_X + 1)E$ and it is a quadric bundle, by [3, Theorem 4.1].
Every fiber of φ_R dominates Z so, by [19, Theorem 4.1] Z is a projective space.
Let L be the line bundle $\psi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) + E$; we have $L \cdot R = 0$ so there exists $L_Y \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ such that $\varphi_R^* L_Y = L$.
Moreover, since $L \cdot V^* = 1$ we have $L_Y \cdot V_Y = 1$.

Case 2a $\psi : X \to Z$ is a projective bundle.

In this case $-K_Y \cdot V_Y = n + 1$, so $-K_Y = (n+1)L_Y$ and Y is a projective space. The intersection of E with the general fiber of ψ is thus a projective space and therefore the center T of the blow up is a linear space by [19, Theorem 4.1].

Case 2b $\psi : X \to Z$ is a quadric bundle.

In this case $-K_Y \cdot V_Y = n$, so $-K_Y = nL_Y$ and Y is a smooth quadric by the Kobayashi-Ochiai theorem.
The intersection of E with the general fiber of ψ is thus a smooth quadric, so the center T of the blow up is either a linear space or a smooth quadric by [23].
Actually the first case can be excluded by direct computation, since the blow up of a quadric along a linear subspace is not a quadric bundle over $\mathbb{P}^r$.
In the second case let $\Pi \simeq \mathbb{P}^i_X$ be the linear subspace of dimension i_X of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ which contains $T \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{i_X - 1}$.
Two cases are possible: either $Y \supseteq \Pi$ or $Y \cap \Pi = T$. The first case has to be excluded because, if $Y \supseteq \Pi$ the blow up of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ along T does not give rise to a Fano variety.

To see this, take a line $l \subset \Pi$ not contained in T; by the canonical bundle formula, if $X = Bl_T\mathbb{Q}^n$ we have

$$-K_X \cdot \tilde{l} = -K_Y \cdot l - l(R)E \cdot \tilde{l} \leq n - 2l(R) \leq 0.$$

Finally note that in both cases the bound on the dimension of the center follows from the fact that $i_X \leq l(R)$ and so $2i_X \leq l(R) + i_X \leq n$. □

7. Varieties with a polarization

Proof of 1.2. Let V the family given by lemma 4.1, let $x \in \mathrm{Exc}(R)$ be a point such that V_x is unsplit and let F_x be the fiber of φ_R containing x.
First of all we prove that $\rho_X = 2$ and that the cone of curves of X is generated by R and by the ray spanned by $[V]$.
We are assuming that equality holds in (*), so equality holds everywhere in (2); in particular we have

$$\dim F_x = l(R) + \dim X - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) - 1 = \dim X - r_X + 1 \tag{7}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) = r_X - 1. \tag{8}$$

This forces $\deg V = r_X$, so the family V is unsplit. Moreover, by inequality 2.5 V is a covering family.
Therefore, by lemma 2.15 we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V)_{F_x} \geq \dim F_x + r_X - 1 = \dim X$, so, by proposition 2.18 c), we have $NE(X) = \langle [V], [R] \rangle$.

Let $\psi : X \to Z$ be the contraction of the ray R_V spanned by $[V]$, which is of fiber type since V is a covering family; curves parametrized by V have anticanonical degree r_X, so they are minimal extremal curves in R_V which has length r_X.
By inequality 2.6, every fiber of ψ has dimension $\geq l(R_V) - 1 = r_X - 1$, so $\dim Z \leq n - r_X + 1$. Again by inequality 2.6 the fibers of φ_R have dimension $\geq n - e + l - 1 = n - r_X + 1$, so they dominate Z. In particular every fiber of ψ meets a fiber F_R of φ_R and so its dimension is $\leq \dim X - \dim F_R = r_X - 1$; therefore the contraction $\psi : X \to Z$ is equidimensional.
Moreover we also have that the dimension of every fiber of φ_R is $\leq \dim Z \leq n - r_X + 1$, so φ_R is equidimensional with fibers of dimension $n - r_X + 1$ and $\dim Z = n - r_X + 1$.

Denote by H the divisor such that $-K_X = r_X H$. The general fiber G of ψ is, by generic smoothness and adjunction, a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{r_X} - 1$ and $H_G \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$, so, by [13, Lemma 2.12], ψ is a projective bundle over Z, $X = \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{E})$, with $\mathcal{E} = \varphi_R^* H$.
In particular Z is a smooth Fano variety of Picard number one.
The canonical bundle formula yields

$$\psi^*(K_Z + \det \mathcal{E}) = K_X + r_X H = \mathcal{O}_X,$$

and so $-K_Z = \det \mathcal{E}$. Note also that, if C_R is a curve in R then

$$H \cdot C_R = \frac{-K_X \cdot C_R}{r_X} \geq \frac{l(R)}{r_X}. \tag{9}$$

Let V_Z be a minimal covering family for Z and C a curve in V_Z; Let $\nu : \mathbb{P}^1 \to C \subset Z$ be the normalization of C and let Z_C be the fiber product $Z_C = \mathbb{P}^1 \times_C X$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z_C \arrow[r, "\bar{\nu}"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & X \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r, "\nu"'] & Z \end{tikzcd}$$

The variety Z_C is a projective bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, $Z_C = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{P}}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$; the vector bundle $\nu^*\mathcal{E}$ is ample, so we can write $\nu^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \oplus_0^{r_X-1}\mathcal{O}(a_i)$ with $a_i > 0$ and $a_i \leq a_{i+1} \quad \forall i$.
Denote by m the maximum index i such that $a_i = a_0$ and rewrite $\nu^*\mathcal{E}$ in the following way

$$\nu^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \oplus^{m+1}\mathcal{O}(a_0) \oplus_{i=m+1}^{r_X-1} \mathcal{O}(a_i).$$

The cone of curves $\mathrm{NE}(Z_C)$ is generated by the class of a line in a fiber of p and by the class of a section C_0 corresponding to a surjection $\nu^* \to \mathcal{O}(a_0)$.
The cone of curves $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is generated by the class $[V]$ of a line in a fiber of φ_V and by the class of Γ_R, a minimal extremal curve in R.
The morphism $\bar{\nu}$ induces a map of spaces of cycles $N_1(Z_C) \to N_1(X)$ which allows us to identify $\mathrm{NE}(Z_C)$ with a subcone of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$.
Since $\bar{\nu}(Z_C)$ contains lines in the fibers of ψ and contains curves in the fibers of φ_R (since for dimensional reasons $\dim(\bar{\nu}(Z_C) \cap F_R) \geq 1$), we have an identification $\mathrm{NE}(Z_C) \simeq \mathrm{NE}(X)$.
In particular $F_R \cap \bar{\nu}(Z_C)$, which is a curve whose numerical class in X is a multiple of $[\Gamma_R]$, is the image of a curve Γ whose numerical class in Z_C is a multiple of $[C_0]$.
By [21, Lemma 3] the curve Γ is the union of disjoint minimal sections, so $\bar{\nu}(Z_C) \cap F_R$ consists of the images via $\bar{\nu}$ of disjoint minimal sections.
On the other hand, if C_0 is a minimal section, then $\bar{\nu}(C_0)$ is a curve whose numerical class is in R, so it is contained in a fiber of φ_R.
It follows that the dimension of $\varphi_R(\mathrm{Exc}(R))$ is the dimension of the space parametrizing minimal sections, which is m. Therefore

$$m = \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) - \dim F_R = l(R) + r_X - 2 - \dim F_R.$$

Moreover, since $[C_0] \in R$ we have, by equation 9

$$a_0 = H \cdot C_0 \geq \frac{l(R)}{r_X},$$

hence $a_i \geq a_0 + 1 \geq \dfrac{l(R)}{r_X} + 1$ for $i = m+1, \ldots, r_X - 1$.
It follows that

$$\dim Z + 1 \geq -K_Z \cdot C = \det \mathcal{E} \cdot C =$$
$$= (m+1)a_0 + \sum_{m+1}^{r_X-1} a_i \geq (m+1)\frac{l(R)}{r_X} + (r_X - m - 1)\left(\frac{l(R)}{r_X} + 1\right) =$$
$$= l(R) + r_X - m - 1 = \dim F_R + 1 = \dim Z + 1.$$

Therefore Z admits a minimal dominating family of degree $\dim Z + 1$, hence Z is a projective space of dimension $n - r_X + 1$ by the proof of [16, Theorem 1.1].
Since equality holds everywhere we also have $a_0 = 1$, $a_i = 2 \quad i = m+1, \ldots, r_X - 1$, so the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ on lines of Z is uniform.
If $\dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) \leq \dim X - 2$ then $\mathrm{rk}\, \mathcal{E} = r_X \leq l(R) < n - r + 1 = \dim Z$, therefore $\mathcal{E}$ is decomposable by [14] and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \oplus^{m+1}\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus^{r_X-1-m} \mathcal{O}(2)$.

If $\dim \operatorname{Exc}(R) = \dim X - 1$ then $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E} = \dim Z$ and the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ is $(1, \ldots, 1, 2)$, so, by [14], either $\mathcal{E}$ is decomposable or $\mathcal{E}$ is the tangent bundle of $Z = \mathbb{P}^{\dim Z}$, but the second case has to be excluded since X has a divisorial contraction. Finally, if $\operatorname{Exc}(R) = X$ then the splitting type of $\mathcal{E}$ is $(1, \ldots, 1)$, so $\mathcal{E}$ is decomposable by [4, Proposition 1.2] and X is a product of projective spaces. □

Proposition 7.1. *Let X be a Fano variety of Picard number $\rho_X = 2$, index $r_X \geq 2$, and let R a fiber type or divisorial extremal ray such that $r_X + l(R) = \dim \operatorname{Exc}(R) + 1$. Then, if R is divisorial either X is as in theorem 1.3 or X has the structure of a projective bundle over a smooth variety.*
If R is of fiber type then X is a projective bundle or a quadric bundle or the projectivization of a Bănică sheaf over a smooth variety Y.

Proof. By theorem 5.1 either $Bl_{\mathbb{P}^{l(R)-2}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ or the cone of curves $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is generated by R and by a fiber type extremal ray; let $\psi : X \to Z$ be the contraction of this ray.
Let H be the line bundle such that $-K_X = r_X H$, let $A \in \operatorname{Pic}(Z)$ be an ample divisor and let $H' = H + \psi^* A$. The contraction ψ is supported by $K_X + r_X H'$.

If R is divisorial then every fiber of φ_R has dimension $\geq l(R)$. If equality holds for every fiber, φ_R is a smooth blow up by [2, Theorem 5.1], so X is as in theorem 1.3. We can therefore assume that there exists a fiber F of φ_R of dimension $\geq l(R) + 1$. The contraction $\psi : X \to Z$ has fibers of dimension $\geq r_X - 1 \geq n - l(R) - 1$, so $\dim Z \leq l(R) + 1$. It follows that F dominates Z and meets every fiber of ψ, forcing the equidimensionality of ψ.
We can now conclude that X is a projective bundle over Z by [13, Lemma 2.12] since $H \cdot V = 1$.

If R is of fiber type then every fiber of φ_R has dimension $\geq l(R) - 1$ and so the contraction $\psi : X \to Z$ has fibers of dimension $\leq n - l(R) + 1 \leq r_X$, so we can conclude by [3, Theorem 4.1] and [5, Proposition 2.5]. □

8. Appendix

The results in theorem 1.3 show that if a Fano variety X is the blow-up of a smooth variety Y along a smooth subvariety T and $i_X \geq \dim T + 1$ then also Y is a Fano variety and $i_Y \geq i_X$.
In general these two facts are not true; in [25, Section 3] the question whether Y has to be a Fano variety was posed and some answers were given in [25, Propositions 3.4 and 3.6].
In particular the examples [25, 3.7, 3.8] show that $i_T \geq \dim T + 1$ is the best possible bound which guarantees that Y is a Fano manifold.

The second problem, i.e. - assuming that Y is Fano can the pseudoindex of Y be less than the pseudoindex of X? - has been studied in [6]. The following example of that paper shows that the answer can be positive:

Example 8.1. Let $Y_n = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ and let $T_n \subset Y_n$ be the submanifold defined by the subbundle $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m}$. Note that $\dim Y_n := n = 2m$ and $\dim T_n = m$. Let $\varphi_n : X_n = Bl_{T_n}(Y_n) \longrightarrow Y_n$ be the blow-up of Y_n along T_n.
One can easily prove that X_n and Y_n are Fano manifolds, if $n \geq 4$, and moreover $i_{Y_n} = 1$ and, if $n \geq 6$, $i_{X_n} = 2$ (while $i_{X_4} = 1$).

The following are the main results of [6]:

Theorem 8.2. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n which is the blow up $\varphi_R : X \longrightarrow Y$ of a smooth Fano manifold Y along a smooth subvariety T.*

- *If $2\dim T \leq n + i_Y - 1$ then $i_X \leq i_Y$ unless $n \geq 6$ is even and $X = X_n$, $Y = Y_n$, $T = T_n$ are as in the above example.*
- *If $i_Y \geq \frac{n}{3} - 1$ then $i_X \leq i_Y$ unless $n = 6$ and $X = X_6$, $Y = Y_6$, $T = T_6$ are as in the above example.*

We propose here a slight variation of the results of [6], considering birational contractions between smooth Fano manifolds:

Proposition 8.3. *Let X be a Fano manifold, let $\varphi_R : X \longrightarrow Y$ be the contraction of a birational extremal ray R such that Y is a smooth Fano manifold and let $T = \varphi_R(\mathrm{Exc}(R))$. If $i_Y > 2\dim T + 1 - n$ or if $i_Y > \frac{n}{3} - 1$ then $i_X \leq i_Y$.*

Proof. Since φ is a birational map between smooth varieties the exceptional locus $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$ is a divisor and we have the formula:

$$K_X = K_Y + G,$$

where G is a divisor supported on $\mathrm{Exc}(R)$
Let $C \subset Y$ be a rational curve such that $i_Y = -K_Y \cdot C$ and let V be a family of rational curves on Y containing C.
By inequality 2.5 we have $2\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V) \geq n + i_Y - 1$, therefore if $i_Y > 2\dim T + 1 - n$ we have that $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V) > \dim T$ and this implies that there exists a curve C in V not contained in T.
The strict transform of it, call it $\widetilde{C}$, is a rational curve on X satisfying $G \cdot \widetilde{C} \geq 0$, therefore, by the canonical bundle formula, $i_X \leq -K_X \cdot \widetilde{C} \leq i_Y$.

Assume now that $i_Y > \frac{n}{3} - 1$ and, by contradiction, that $i_X > i_Y$; by the first part we can assume that $i_Y \leq 2\dim T + 1 - n$.
Denote by F a general fiber of the map φ; from 2.5 we have $\dim F \geq i_X$ and therefore

$$i_Y \leq 2\dim T + 1 - n = n - 1 - 2\dim F \leq n - 1 - 2i_X \leq n - 3 - 2i_Y$$

that is $i_Y \leq \frac{n}{3} - 1$, which is a contradiction. □

Corollary 8.4. *Let X be a Fano manifold, let $\varphi_R : X \longrightarrow Y$ be the contraction of a birational extremal ray R such that Y is a smooth Fano manifold and let $T = \varphi_R(\mathrm{Exc}(R))$. If $i_X \geq \dim T$ then $i_X \leq i_Y$.*

Proof. Let F be a general fiber of φ_R; we have

$$\dim T \leq \dim \mathrm{Exc}(R) - \dim F \leq n - 1 - i_X \leq n - \dim T + 1$$

so that

$$2\dim T - n + 1 \leq 0 < i_Y$$

We can thus apply proposition 8.3 to conclude. □

References

[1] Marco Andreatta, Elena Chierici, and Gianluca Occhetta. Generalized Mukai conjecture for special Fano varieties. *CEJM*, 2(2):272–293, 2004.

[2] Marco Andreatta and Gianluca Occhetta. Special rays in the Mori cone of a projective variety. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 168:127–137, 2002.

[3] Marco Andreatta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. A note on nonvanishing and applications. *Duke Math. J.*, 72(3):739–755, 1993.

[4] Marco Andreatta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle. *Invent. Math.*, 146(1):209–217, 2001.

[5] Edoardo Ballico and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On Bănică sheaves and Fano manifolds. *Compositio Math.*, 102(3):313–335, 1996.

[6] Laurent Bonavero. Pseudo-index of Fano manifolds and smooth blow-ups. *Geometriae dedicata*. To appear.

[7] Laurent Bonavero, Frédéric Campana, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Varièt̀es complexes dont l'èclatèe en un point est de Fano. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 334:463–468, 2002.

[8] Laurent Bonavero, Cinzia Casagrande, Olivier Debarre, and Stephane Druel. Sur une conjecture de Mukai. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78:601–626, 2003.

[9] Frédéric Campana. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 25:539–545, 1992.

[10] Cinzia Casagrande. The number of vertices of a Fano polytope. math.AG/0411073, November 2004.

[11] Elena Chierici and Gianluca Occhetta. The cone of curves of Fano varieties of coindex four. math.AG/0401429, february 2004.

[12] Koji Cho, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Nick Shepherd-Barron. Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds. In *Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997)*, volume 35 of *Adv. Studies in Pure Math.*, pages 1–88. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.

[13] Takao Fujita. On polarized manifold whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai*, volume 10 of *Adv. Studies in Pure Math.*, pages 167–178. Kinokuniya-North-Holland, 1987.

[14] Georges Elencwajg, André Hirschowitz and Michael Schneider. Les fibres uniformes de rang au plus n sur $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ sont ceux qu'on croit. In *Vector bundles and differential equations (Proc. Conf., Nice, 1979)*, volume 7 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 37–63. Birkhäuser Boston, 1980.

[15] Paltin Ionescu. Generalized adjunction and applications. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 99:457–472, 1986.

[16] Stefan Kebekus. Characterizing the projective space after Cho, Miyaoka and Sheperd-Barron. In *Complex Geometry, Göttingen 2000*, pages 147–155. Springer Berlin, 2002.

[17] János Kollár. *Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Math.* Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokio, 1996.

[18] Janos Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefuwi Mori. Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 36:765–779, 1992.

[19] Robert Lazarsfeld. Some applications of the theory of positive vector bundles. In *Complete intersections (Acireale 1983)*, volume 1092 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 29–61. Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[20] Shigefuwi Mori. Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundle. *Ann. Math.*, 110:595–606, 1979.

[21] Gianluca Occhetta. A characterization of products of projective spaces. To appear on Canad. Math. Bull.

[22] Gianluca Occhetta and Jaroslaw A. Wiśniewski. On Euler-Jaczewski sequence and Remmert-Van de Ven problem for toric varieties. *Math. Z.*, 241:35–44, 2002.

[23] Kapil Hari Paranjape and Vasudevan Srinivas. Self maps of homogeneous spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 98:425–444, 1989.

[24] Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On a conjecture of Mukai. *Manuscripta Math.*, 68:135–141, 1990.

[25] Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds. *J. Reine und Angew. Math.*, 417:141–157, 1991.

Dipartimento di Matematica via Sommarive 14, I-38050 Povo (TN)
E-mail address: `andreatt@science.unitn.it`
E-mail address: `occhetta@science.unitn.it`